

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,341	01/30/2004	Joel P. DeSouza	YOR920030639US1 (17341)	6381
23389 7:	590 01/23/2006	EXAMINER		
SCULLY SCO 400 GARDEN	OTT MURPHY & PI	FOURSON III	FOURSON III, GEORGE R	
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GARDEN CIT	Y, NY 11530	·	2823	

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/768,341	DESOUZA ET AL.	M			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		George Fourson	2823				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this α D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·		action is non-final.					
	·—						
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	,				
٠,		n					
	4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
		WITHOUT CONSIDERATION.					
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subjected to:	r election requirement					
ال(٥	claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is insufficient description in the specification as originally filed of performing step such that non-stoichiometric SiO_x precipitates are dissolved. The instant specification includes only conjecture that this result is obtained (instant page 13, second paragraph).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sadana et al 5,930,643.

Sadana et al discloses the steps:

a)masked, base implantation of oxygen ions with a dose greater than 5x10¹⁶ cm⁻², preferably 2-5x10¹⁷ cm⁻², ion beam current of 5-60 mA, energy of 30-400 keV at temperature greater than 200°C;

- b) room temperature implantation of oxygen ions with a dose of 1x10¹⁴ 1x10¹⁶ cm⁻², energy of 50-200 keV, at a temperature less than 300°C, shallower than the base implant (col.4, lines 40-41);
- c) temperature ramp up and soaking at 1000°C for 5-120 min with an oxygen content of 0.1-10%, ramp up to 1300-1375°C with oxygen content of 5-100% oxygen with the balance Ar or N₂, selective removal of the surface oxide formed with HF (columns 4 and 5).

With respect to claims 20 and 23, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to increase the temperature of the soak cycle to 1250°C and the oxygen content to greater than 30% with the expectation that a portion of the effect of the oxidation step would be accomplished in view of the disclosure that the soak cycle is optional. Further, see col.7, line 12 where 100% O₂ content in the soak cycle is disclosed. With respect to the remaining claims, there is overlap between the recited conditions and those disclosed and pointed to above.

With respect to claims 7 and 8, the room temperature ion implantation step could be labeled as multiple steps.

Applicant fails to address the argument that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to increase the temperature of the soak cycle to 1250°C and the oxygen content to greater than 30% with the expectation that a portion of the effect of the oxidation step would be accomplished in view of the disclosure that the soak cycle is optional. Further, see col.7, line 12 where 100% O₂ content in the soak cycle is disclosed.

Art Unit: 2823

In response to applicant's argument that Sadana does not include a teaching or suggestion that a BOX having improved breakdown field is an aim of the process of Sadana, it is not necessary for the reference to disclose that the process of the reference is performed to achieve the same goals as applicant or to obtain the same advantages recognized by applicant. It is sufficient that the process suggested by the reference in combination with the arguments above is encompassed by the instant claims.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action.

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See Hovel et al '106 [0042-0043], Hovel et al '846 [0042, 0052], Bedell et al '487 [0082-0084, 0086],

Art Unit: 2823

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Fourson whose telephone number is (571)272-1860272-1860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith, can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George Fourson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2823

GFourson January 22, 2006